

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
FLORENCE DIVISION

Ismail Dickerson,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Civil Action No.:3:09-2268-TLW-JRM
)	
)	
)	
City of Charleston Police Department;)	
Officers LaFromboise, Engles, Cone;)	
Sgt. McBrayer; MPO-K-9 Officer D.)	
Tharp; victims Michael Green and Jenny)	
Vannhannegeyn; and K-9 Odde,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

ORDER

Plaintiff, Ismail Dickerson (“plaintiff”), brought this civil action, *pro se*, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on August 28, 2009. (Doc. #1).

This matter now comes before this Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Judge Joseph R. McCrorey to whom this case had previously been assigned. (Doc. #11). In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the District Court summarily dismiss defendants Michael Green, Jenny Vannhannegeyn, and K-9 Odde from this case *without prejudice* and without issuance and service of process. (Doc. # 11). The plaintiff filed objections to the report. (Doc. # 13). In conducting this review, the Court applies the following standard:

The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to the Court, to which any party may file written objections...The Court is not bound by the recommendation of the magistrate judge but, instead, retains responsibility for the final determination. The Court is required to make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or

specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which no objections are addressed. While the level of scrutiny entailed by the Court's review of the Report thus depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, the Court is free, after review, to accept, reject, or modify any of the magistrate judge's findings or recommendations.

Wallace v. Housing Auth. of the City of Columbia, 791 F. Supp. 137, 138 (D.S.C. 1992)

(citations omitted).

In light of the standard set forth in Wallace, the Court has reviewed, de novo, the Report and the objections. After careful review of the Report and objections thereto, the Court **ACCEPTS** the Report. (Doc. # 11). The defendants Michael Green, Jenny Vannhannegeyn, and K-9 Odde are hereby **DISMISSED** *without prejudice* and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Terry L. Wooten
United States District Judge

December 11, 2009
Florence, South Carolina